

---

## 20. MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING

---

### 20.1 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

CEQA section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code requires all public agencies to adopt reporting or monitoring programs when they approve projects subject to environmental impact reports or mitigated negative declarations. A mitigation monitoring program would therefore be required for implementation of the Hercules Bayfront Project subsequent to certification of the Hercules Bayfront Project EIR. Most of the environmental mitigation needs that have been identified in this EIR would be subject to effective monitoring through the City's standard development review and approval procedures, as well as during associated plan check and field inspection procedures. However, to satisfy CEQA statute section 21081.6 and CEQA Guidelines section 15097 (Mitigation Monitoring or Reporting), a documented record of implementation will be necessary.

### 20.2 MONITORING AND REPORTING CHECKLIST FORMAT

While formulation of a specific mitigation monitoring program should not be completed until this EIR is certified, the mitigation monitoring framework to be followed can be described on a preliminary basis. The attached checklist (Table 20.1) includes individual columns for identifying the following, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15097:

#### 20.2.1 Identified Impact

This column would include each identified significant adverse impact as it is described in the EIR summary table (Table 2.1 in EIR chapter 2).

#### 20.2.2 Related Mitigation Measure (Performance Criteria)

This column would include each mitigation measure as it is described in the EIR summary table (Table 2.1 in EIR chapter 2). The description could be supplemented by any applicable performance criteria (i.e., the measure by which the success of the mitigation can be gauged) associated with each measure.

#### 20.2.3 Monitoring

This column would describe (1) the implementation entity responsible for carrying out each mitigation measure (e.g., a City department, another public agency); (2) the monitoring and verification entity responsible for performing the monitoring of each mitigation (e.g., a City department, another public agency, or some other entity); and (3) specific implementation timing requirements (e.g., at the completion of a particular future individual project development review or construction phase, prior to individual future development project occupancy, or when some other specific threshold is reached).

#### **20.2.4 Verification**

The verification column would provide a space for the signature and date of the monitoring and verification entity when a monitoring milestone is reached.

Table 20.1  
**MITIGATION MONITORING CHECKLIST--HERCULES BAYFRONT PROJECT**

The environmental mitigation measures listed in column two below have been incorporated into the conditions of approval for the Hercules Bayfront Project in order to mitigate identified environmental impacts. A completed and signed chart will indicate that each mitigation requirement has been complied with, and that City and state monitoring requirements have been fulfilled with respect to Public Resources Code section 21081.5.

IDENTIFIED IMPACT	RELATED MITIGATION MEASURE (Performance Criteria)	MONITORING			VERIFICATION		
		Implementation Entity	Monitoring and Verification Entity	Timing Requirements	Signature	Date	
CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES							
Impact 8-1.							
Impact 8-2.							
Impact 8-3.							
NOISE							
Impact 13-1.							
Impact 13-2.							
Impact 13-3.							
TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION							
Impact 16-1.							
Impact 16-2.							
Impact 16-3.							

