HERCULES POLICE DEPARTMENT

WATCH COMMANDER'S SHIFT REPORT

DATE: September 18, 2023 TIME: 0700-0700 DAY: Monday

THE FOLLOWING CASES ONLY REFLECT A PARTIAL LIST OF ACTUAL CASES TAKEN. CALLS FOR SERVICE NOT GENERATING WRITTEN REPORTS ARE NOT USUALLY LISTED. AII THOSE ARRESTED ARE PRESUMED INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY

<u>Criminal Threats:</u> On September 18, 2023, at 7:56 pm, officers responded to the 1500 block of Partridge for a CHP transfer of a 911 call with an open line. Officers met with the Reporting Party/Victim (RP/V), 47 year old female, who stated her friend's 32 year old son, came to her house, brandished a firearm, and demanded some belongings from a vehicle she had. RP/V stated the subject (SUB) was driving a late model tan Ford Focus with unknown plates and fled the area prior to police arrival. A FLOCK check for the described vehicle yielded no results for the alleged time frame of the incident. A records check revealed SUB did not have any registered firearms in the Automated Firearms System. After further interview, it was determined, SUB only showed RP/V what appeared to be the handle of a handgun tucked in his waistband while he was seated in the vehicle and told her he was going to shoot her if he did not get his belongings back. RP/V stated she was in sustained fear for her life from the incident <u>H23-00955</u>

Domestic Violence: On September 18, 2023, at 8:06 pm, officers responded to the Aventine (1375 Sycamore) for a report of a Victim (V) who stated she was choked and spit on by her 24 year old boyfriend at 10:00 am today. V declined medical and waited for contact in front of the Aventine and wanted the subject (SUB) escorted out of the apartment. V did not have any visible injuries but stated she was choked earlier in the day. Medics arrived on scene to assess V who declined transport. Keys were obtained for the apartment, but after knock notice and entry, SUB was not located inside the apartment. Further interview of V and alleged witness revealed several inconsistencies with statements, timelines and living arrangements. Based on initial statements an Emergency Protective Order (EPO) was granted. Shortly after clearing the scene, SUB called in to dispatch to ask why a restraining order was filed against him. SUB provided a lot of detailed contradictory information about the incident and he and CV's living arrangements. SUB was served the EPO via text and understood the provisions. At conclusion, due to lack of evidence, and conflicting information, there was insufficient probable cause for an arrest <u>H23-00956</u>